Treatment of HAE Attacks in the Icatibant Outcome Survey: An Analysis of Icatibant Self-Administration versus Administration by Health Care Professionals

Dolores Hernández Fernandez de Rojas a, Ethel Ibáñez a, Hilary Longhurst c, Marcus Maurer d, Vincent Fabien e, Werner Aberer f, Laurence Bouillet g, Andrea Zanichelli h, Teresa Caballero b on behalf of the IOS Study Group

a Department of Allergy, IIS Hospital La Fe, Valencia, and b Hospital La Paz Institute for Health Research, Biomedical Research Network on Rare Diseases CIBER U754, Madrid, Spain; c Department of Immunology, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK; d Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Allergie-Centrum-Charité, Charité-Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; e Shire, Zug, Switzerland; f Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria; g National Reference Centre for Angioedema, Internal Medicine Department, Grenoble University Hospital, Grenoble, France; h Dipartimento di Scienze Cliniche, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

Key Words
Hereditary angio-oedema · Icatibant · Oedema · Self-administration · Icatibant Outcome Survey · C1 inhibitor deficiency · Bradykinin B2 receptor antagonist · Therapy

Abstract
Background: Icatibant, a selective bradykinin B2 receptor antagonist for the treatment of acute hereditary angio-oedema (HAE) attacks in adults, can be administered by health care professionals (HCPs) or self-administered. This analysis compared characteristics and outcomes of acute HAE attacks treated with self-administered and HCP-administered icatibant in a real-world setting. Methods: The Icatibant Outcome Survey (Shire, Zug, Switzerland; NCT01034969) is an international observational study monitoring the safety and effectiveness of icatibant treatment. Descriptive retrospective analyses were performed (February 2008 to December 2012). Results: Icatibant was used in 652 attacks in 170 patients with HAE type I/II. Most icatibant injections were self-administered (431/652, 68.5%). The proportion of self-treated attacks increased over time (40.3% in 2009 vs. 89.7% in 2012). The median time to administration was significantly shorter in self- versus HCP-treated attacks (1.5 vs. 2.4 h; p = 0.016). Earlier treatment (<2 h after onset) was significantly associated with a shorter median time to resolution (2.5 vs. 5.0 h; p = 0.032) and attack duration (3.0 vs. 14.0 h; p < 0.0001), regardless of administration method. Patients self-administered icatibant for attacks of all severities; overall, 34.7% of severe and 30.2% of very severe attacks were HCP treated. Logistic regression analysis did not find use of long-term prophylaxis, attack location or gender to be predictive for self-administration. Conclusions: The proportion of HAE attacks treated with self-administered icatibant increased over time. Patients successfully self-administered icatibant for a wide variety of HAE attacks, demonstrating that icatibant is generally well tolerated and effective for self-administration. Self-administration of icatibant provides a complementary option to HCP administration, enabling optimization of patient care.
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Introduction

Hereditary angio-oedema (HAE) is a rare autosomal dominant condition with an estimated prevalence of approximately 1:50,000 people [1]. HAE manifests as cutaneous or submucosal oedema, most commonly occurring in the abdomen, on the skin of the face, lips, tongue or extremities, and in the upper respiratory tract [2, 3]. Attacks are recurrent and usually unpredictable, and symptoms can be severe [2, 4]. Severe attacks may necessitate hospital admission [5], and laryngeal oedema can be fatal if the airways are compromised [6–8]. If left untreated, attacks may last for several days before resolving spontaneously [2, 4].

The most common aetiology of HAE involves either a deficiency (type I) or dysfunction (type II) of the C1 esterase inhibitor (C1-INH) [2, 4]. This deficiency in C1-INH leads to overproduction of bradykinin and activation of bradykinin B2 receptors, which increases vascular permeability and results in oedema [9–12].

International consensus documents recommend on-demand therapy for HAE attacks, particularly those involving the face, abdomen or respiratory tract, and state that early treatment is desirable to reduce attack duration [1, 3, 13, 14]. Furthermore, the World Allergy Organization 2012 guideline and Hereditary Angioedema International Working Group 2012 consensus report state that, where licensed indications permit, patients should be allowed to keep on-demand medication at home and taught to self-treat their acute HAE attacks [3, 13]. Indeed, given the association between early treatment and reduced attack duration, the development of treatment options for self-administration has been welcomed by patients and health care professionals (HCPs) alike [15].

The efficacy and safety of icatibant have been demonstrated in 3 phase III clinical trials: For Angioedema Subcutaneous Treatment (FAST)-1, -2 and -3 [16, 17]. Icatibant was first approved for symptomatic treatment of acute attacks of HAE in adults (with C1-INH deficiency) as an HCP-administered subcutaneous injection in Europe in 2008 [18]. In 2011, icatibant was approved in the USA for administration by HCPs and patients [19], and the European indication was updated to permit patient self-administration after training under the guidance of an HCP [18].

The aim of this analysis was to investigate and compare the effectiveness and safety of HCP- and self-administered icatibant, using real-world data collected from the Icatibant Outcome Survey (IOS), an ongoing long-term observational study [20].

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Population

The IOS (Shire, Zug, Switzerland; NCT01034969) is an ongoing, international, prospective, observational study that monitors the effectiveness and safety of icatibant during real-world use. Data are collected via physician-completed electronic forms at routine visits. By December 2012, 10 countries were included in the IOS programme: Austria, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Israel, Italy, Spain, Sweden and the UK. The IOS is conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Approval was obtained from local ethics committees and/or health authorities, and all patients provided written informed consent. Details of the IOS study design and setting have been described previously [20].

Patients were eligible for inclusion in this analysis if they had been prescribed icatibant and had a diagnosis of HAE type I or II that was clinically confirmed by laboratory tests (C1-INH concentration and function). Patients attended regular follow-up visits, recommended every 6 months, in accordance with routine clinical practice.

Adverse events (AEs) recorded throughout the study were classified as not related, possibly related or probably related to icatibant treatment, as judged by the study investigator. Where data for the classification of the relationship of the AE to treatment were missing, AEs were considered related to icatibant treatment.

Patients

IOS data were available for 368 patients who experienced 1,213 HAE attacks between February 2008 and December 31, 2012. Of these, 652 attacks in 170 patients were treated with icatibant and were included in this analysis. In summary, patients were recruited at 36 centres; the majority of patients were female (104/170, 61.2%), the median age was 39.6 years (range 16.5–79.0 years), and most patients had a diagnosis of HAE type I (157/170, 92.4%).

Data on patient demographics, HAE diagnosis, significant medical history and characteristics of the previous attacks were collected at patient enrolment by the attending doctor. During routine visits, a description of each attack was recorded, including site of attack, severity, treatment administration, method of administration (HCP or self-administration), time to treatment, time to resolution, concomitant medications and AEs.

For all HAE attacks, a description of the affected site (skin, abdomen, larynx and other organs) and the severity of the attack by a symptom score according to the interference with daily activities and the need of other countermeasures (0 = no symptoms, no interference; 1 = no or mild interference; 2 = moderate interference, no countermeasures required; 3 = severe interference with or without countermeasures; 4 = very severe interference and other countermeasures required) were recorded.

Outcome Measures

The focus of this analysis was to compare characteristics and treatment outcomes for HAE attacks treated with self- and HCP-administered icatibant. For the purposes of the analysis, self-administration included administration by a family member or non-professional carer. If the patient or family member was also an HCP, this injection was nevertheless classified as a self-administration. Attack characteristics, including severity, were evaluated by the patient and reported at regular follow-up visits.
Outcome measures were time to treatment (defined as time from onset of attack to first icatibant injection), time to resolution (defined as time from injection to resolution of symptoms) and attack duration (defined as total time from onset of attack to symptom resolution).

Statistical Methods
Descriptive analyses of HAE attack characteristics and treatment outcomes for patients who received icatibant were performed retrospectively, including data for icatibant-treated attacks occurring prior to IOS entry. Attacks with missing data for a particular outcome were excluded from the analysis of that outcome. A mixed-model analysis of repeated measures (PROC MIXED; SAS Institute, Cary, N.C., USA) was used to compare time to treatment, time to resolution and duration of attack. The χ² test (level of statistical significance of α = 0.05) was used to compare percentages. Data are presented as median (with interquartile range, IQR) or mean (with standard deviation).

Logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify any factors that might predict the preferred method of administration. The factors analysed were patient gender, attack location and use of long-term prophylaxis (LTP). A multivariate model was built using a backward selection process, incorporating variables from the univariate model with p values <0.20 and removing factors with the highest p values until only significant factors remained (p ≤ 0.05).

Results
HAE Attack Characteristics and Treatment
Overall, 91.9% of icatibant-treated attacks were in patients with HAE type I. The frequency of HAE attacks each patient experienced was highly variable, representing a spectrum from <1 attack per year (7.7%) to at least 1 attack per week (7.7%). Between these extremes, 8.2% of patients experienced 1–2 attacks per year, 23.5% experienced 3–6 attacks per year, 12.9% experienced 7–11 per year and 29.4% experienced 12–51 per year.

Of the 652 icatibant-treated attacks, 198 (31.5%) were treated by an HCP whereas the majority (431 attacks, 68.5%) were defined as self-treated (data not recorded for 23 attacks). Of the attacks defined as self-treated, icatibant was administered by a non-HCP individual other than the patient (e.g. family member or carer) in 31 attacks (7.2%) but the majority of icatibant injections were self-administered by the patient (400 attacks, 92.8%).

Most attacks were sufficiently treated with a single icatibant injection (87.2% of self-treated attacks vs. 91.9% of HCP-treated attacks). Of attacks treated with self-administered icatibant, 12.8% were treated with a second or third injection compared with 8.1% of HCP-treated attacks (p = 0.084).

Table 1. Severity of icatibant-treated HAE attacks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attack severity</th>
<th>Overall (n = 652)</th>
<th>HCP (n = 198)¹</th>
<th>Self-administration (n = 431)¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>41 (6.7)</td>
<td>5 (2.6)</td>
<td>35 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>133 (21.7)</td>
<td>42 (21.9)</td>
<td>87 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>299 (48.8)</td>
<td>103 (53.6)</td>
<td>194 (47.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very severe</td>
<td>140 (22.8)</td>
<td>42 (21.9)</td>
<td>97 (23.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are numbers or numbers with percentages in parentheses. Total = Total number of attacks with recorded severity classification.

¹ The administration method was not recorded for 23 attacks.

Analysing attack severity by administration type revealed that approximately two-thirds of attacks rated as moderate, severe or very severe were treated with self-administered icatibant; 87.5% of mild attacks were also self-treated (table 1). Overall, attack severity was similar for HCP- and self-treated attacks: 75.5 versus 70.5%, respectively, were rated as severe/very severe and 21.9 versus 21.1%, respectively, were rated as moderate (table 1).

Analysis of attack location demonstrated that most cutaneous attacks (148/213, 69.5%) and abdominal attacks (209/286, 73.1%) were treated with self-administered icatibant. Just over half of laryngeal attacks (17/31, 54.8%) were self-treated and 45.2% (14/31) were treated with an HCP. Similarly, 71.0% of single-site attacks were treated by self-administration, compared with 51.3% of attacks at multiple sites.

Logistic regression analyses did not find LTP, attack location or gender to be significant predictors of self-administration.

Treatment Administration
Overall, icatibant was self-administered in 68.5% of recorded HAE attacks. The proportion of patients self-administering icatibant increased over time, compared with HCP administration: 89.7% of icatibant-treated attacks were self-treated in 2012, compared with only 40.3% in 2009 (fig. 1a). Just over half of the patients (54.7%) received their first icatibant injection from an HCP. Analysing treatment administration for repeat attacks demonstrates that patients were increasingly more likely to self-administer icatibant for subsequent attacks, with 63.5% self-treating for their second attack, rising to 87.5% for the tenth attack (fig. 1b).
LTP and Rescue Medication

Patients using LTP for the prevention or reduction of HAE attacks accounted for a higher proportion of total self-administrations of icatibant than HCP administrations (37.6% of all self-administered injections vs. 26.3% of all HCP administrations).

There was no difference in the use of rescue medication between HCP- and self-treated attacks (23.7% vs. 23.9%, respectively). When rescue medication was used, C1-INH was used most frequently overall and was more frequently used in self-treated attacks (62.1% vs. 25.5% of attacks treated by HCPs; table 2).

Time to Administration

The median time from the onset of an HAE attack to the first administration of icatibant was almost 1 h shorter for self-administration (1.5 h; IQR 0.5–5.0) compared with HCP administration (2.4 h; IQR 1.0–8.2; p = 0.016). Similarly, significantly more attacks were treated within 1 h by self-administration than by HCP administration (37.8% vs. 20.2%, respectively; p = 0.002; fig. 2a). Similar trends were observed in favour of self-administration for comparisons of treatment administration within 2 h and within 5 h (p ≤ 0.07; fig. 2a).

Fig. 1. a Proportion of attacks self-treated with icatibant increased with time. b Self-administration of icatibant increased with the number of attacks treated.
Time to Symptom Resolution and Duration of Attack

There was no significant difference in the overall median time to resolution between self-treated and HCP-treated HAE attacks (3.4 h, IQR 1.0–9.5 h, vs. 3.5 h, IQR 1.0–9.0 h, respectively). Early treatment was significantly associated with shorter times to resolution (p < 0.05), regardless of administration method (fig. 2b). The median duration of attack was similar for self- and HCP-treated attacks (7.4 h, IQR 2.8–16.0 h, vs. 8.5 h, IQR 3.5–23.0 h, respectively; p = 0.147). Again, although there was no difference between self- and HCP administration, early treatment was associated with a significantly shorter duration of attack (3.0 h for attacks treated <2 h vs. 14 h for attacks treated ≥2 h after onset; p < 0.0001). Similar results were observed for attacks treated <1 h versus ≥1 h after onset and <5 h versus ≥5 h after onset (p < 0.0001 for both).

Safety

Overall, 21 patients reported 38 AEs. Fourteen AEs considered possibly or probably related to icatibant were recorded in 4 patients: 6 events of injection site erythema in a single patient, and single events of chest discomfort, drug inefficacy, feeling hot, gastritis, decreased blood pressure, headache and depression; 1 event was unclassified. Thirteen patients each experienced 1 serious AE (SAE): 3 patients reported SAEs of pregnancy, and all other SAEs were individual events. One SAE was considered potentially treatment related (gastritis resulting in hospital admission). No cardiovascular or cerebrovascular AEs were recorded.

Discussion

Within the IOS population included in this analysis, there has been an increasing trend towards the self-administration of icatibant, which accounted for almost...
90% of icatibant administrations in 2012. This finding not only reflects the change in indication in 2011 to permit self-administration of icatibant in the European Union, but also suggests that HCPs are embracing current guideline recommendations that on-demand self-administration is desirable and patients should be trained accordingly [3, 13]. Furthermore, the analysis demonstrates that patients become increasingly likely to self-administer icatibant with each subsequent attack, suggesting that patients are confidently adopting self-administration. These results are in agreement with those from a previously reported phase IIIb study of icatibant self-administration in patients who had previously received icatibant from an HCP [21]. The study not only concluded that patients are capable of recognizing an attack and treating it appropriately, but also demonstrated, using patient satisfaction data, that the majority of patients preferred self-administering icatibant to receiving it from an HCP [21]. Not only is self-administration of icatibant beneficial to patients in terms of reduced attack duration and improved quality of life [15, 22], it may also confer substantial economic benefits, both in terms of direct health care costs and indirect savings, as has been demonstrated in a Spanish study [23].

The distribution of attack location and severity of HAE attacks treated with icatibant were similar between HCP- and self-administered injections, suggesting that there were no disease-related barriers to self-treatment. However, the proportion of mild attacks for which icatibant was self-administered was higher than for HCP administration. This perhaps reflects the fact that patients may not visit their HCP until an attack has developed to a certain severity. Notably, the higher number of mild attacks self-treated with icatibant reflects the recommendations in international consensus documents and guidelines that attacks be treated as early as possible [3, 13].

In the full analysis, there were no differences in the requirement for rescue medication between attacks treated with self- or HCP-administered icatibant. However, 2 patients displayed atypical treatment patterns resulting in high rates of re-injection and use of rescue medication that may have had undue impact on the analyses (1 patient experienced 54 icatibant-treated attacks, 27 requiring a second injection and 46 requiring C1-INH rescue medication; the other patient reported 32 icatibant-treated attacks but typically administered the first injection during the prodromal stage, outside approved use, and administered further injections once the attack had started). Excluding data for these 2 patients, rescue medication was significantly more commonly used in HCP-treated attacks than for self-treated attacks (23.7 vs. 16.5%, respectively; p = 0.0397). Similarly, excluding the 2 outliers reduced C1-INH use to 31.6% of the attacks requiring rescue medication following self-administration of icatibant, but did not change the HCP-treated result (25.5%). One possible explanation for the lower rescue medication use for self-treated attacks may simply be that HCPs have easier access to rescue medications than patients self-treating at home.

A substantial number of attacks occurred in patients using a variety of LTP drugs, the most common being danazol (46.1%), tranexamic acid (22.6%) and stanozolol (20.7%). As patients prescribed LTP are more likely to have experienced frequent or severer attacks than patients who do not receive LTP, the higher proportion of self- than HCP-treated attacks among patients using LTP may be related to the increased experience and awareness among these patients.

Time to administration was significantly shorter with self-treated than with HCP-treated attacks, as might be expected given that time to treatment by an HCP must by necessity include travel time for either the HCP or the patient. However, in contrast to previously reported data for the IOS study [20], this updated analysis did not find any significant differences between self- and HCP administration in terms of attack duration and time to resolution. A likely explanation for this is the reduction in time to treatment for HCP-administered icatibant since 2009 (8.0 vs. 3.0 h for self-administration) to 2.0 h for both HCP and self-administration in 2012. This perhaps reflects the adoption of updated guidance recommending treatment as early as possible [1, 3, 13, 14]. Alternatively, it may also represent an increased awareness and recognition of HAE attacks on the part of both the HCP and the patient or reflect positive experiences with a previous administration of icatibant. Whatever the reasons, this finding demonstrates that a shift in approach to the management of acute attacks of HAE has occurred in recent years. Early treatment will directly reduce the total attack duration, and it has been suggested that early treatment may result in quicker symptom resolution and a reduced quality-of-life burden for patients [3, 13, 15, 20, 22, 24–26].

The real-world effectiveness of icatibant observed in the IOS in terms of attack duration and time to resolution is comparable to the efficacy reported in the FAST phase III clinical study programme [16, 27, 28], demonstrating that the clinical study results transfer well to daily practice. In the FAST trials, all icatibant was HCP administered, so the finding that effectiveness data from the IOS, in which the majority of attacks were self-treated, is com-
parable to the phase III results demonstrates that the introduction of self-administration provides a viable option for the treatment of HAE attacks. Similarly, icatibant is generally well tolerated, and no new safety concerns have been observed in the IOS study to date. Indeed, the rate of AEs, particularly local injection site reactions, is lower than that observed in clinical studies [16, 27]. This may be due to patients learning to reduce injection site reactions by injecting slowly; however, it is also conceivable that it may be due to the differences in the methods of data collection (HCP-recorded in clinical studies vs. patient-recorded and reported at routine visits in the IOS), which may result in reactions not being consistently recorded in real-world practice.

The method of data collection could be considered a limitation of the current analysis: as the data for the IOS were collected retrospectively at regular clinic appointments, this may have affected the accuracy of the reporting despite many patients using attack diaries. However, as data collection was identical in both groups this should not have adversely influenced the comparison of self- and HCP-treated attacks.

In conclusion, the IOS registry has permitted the evaluation of icatibant self-administration in a real-world setting, building on findings from phase III clinical trials. Self-administration of icatibant enables a shorter time to administration compared with administration by an HCP, and earlier icatibant administration resulted in faster attack resolution. Furthermore, the increase in self-administration over time demonstrates that self-administration is convenient and accepted by patients, and indicates that international guidance is being adopted. Taken together, the results from this analysis demonstrate that self-administration of icatibant is an appropriate, well-tolerated and effective method for managing HAE attacks.
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